

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,721	06/22/2001	Tetsunori Kunimune	911-2111	6007	
-	7590 09/05/2003				
Eugene Oak			EXAMINER		
610 S. Van Ne Los Angeles, (CHIANC	G, JACK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642	$\cdot \gamma$	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T. Kunimune		
Office Action Summary	01/886/6	T			
	Examiner 5.Cl		Group Art Unit 2642	4 7	
	ان، د	11AM	0042		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh	eet beneath the corr	espondence addres	SS	
eriod for Response		2 -			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	ys, a response within the s default, expire SIX (6) MO	statutory minimum of thirty NTHS from the mailing da	(30) days will be considute of this communication	iered timely.	
tatus					
	- 22 -01				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1:			e merits is closed in	n	
isposition of Claims					
Ø Claim(s)		is/are per	ding in the application	on.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are with	ndrawn from conside	ration.	
□ Claim(s)					
Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)		is/are obje	ected to.		
□ Claim(s)		are subjective are su		ection	
pplication Papers		·			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	•				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examir	ner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies 					
received.	or the phonty documen	its nave been			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber)				
☐ received in this national stage application from the li					
*Certified copies not received:					
attachment(s)					
		☐ Interview Summar	v. PTO-413		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	Interview Summar	,,		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	No(s)	□ Notice of Informal		PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2642

CLAIMS

112 First Paragraph Rejection

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 1, it claims a button with dots which has a function (claim 2), this button further has alphabet entering. In other words, there are three types of information impeded in a single button, such as the alphabet, the numeral and the dot. There is no disclosure described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. For example, in conventional phone keypad, entering alphabet might require to have a mode change which changes numeral to alphabet, or a special button having many contacts and special software and sensors in order to achieve the three different types of data entering. In this case, when button "5" is pressed, what data has been entered, the letter(s), the number "5" or the dot? And how is it being achieved?

In claims 3-5, 6-9, notice that claim 1 is already defined to have five dots, it can no long be any other numbers. Therefore, it is a 112, first paragraph problem.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,721 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

Art Rejection

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Topp (US D280099).

Regarding claim 1, Topp shows:

A button having five raised dots on its surface (see figs. 3-4).

Regarding claims 3-9 and 11, Topp shows:

The dots can be 2 to 8 dots or plurality of dots (see figs 2 and 4).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Topp.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,721

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 10, Topp shows various number of dots with the button.

Topp differs from the claimed invention in that it does not show nine dots.

However, from the present application, it shows the numbers of dots varying from two to nine dots. In other words, there is no teaching of criticality for the numbers of dots.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to providing any numbers of dots to represent a symbol, this simply can be considered as a personal

preference and would have been obvious for one skilled in the art.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Topp in view of Krishnan (US 6377685).

Regarding claim 2, Topp shows the button and the dots.

Topp differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly show the "." symbol. However, it is commonly seen that phone keypads have various symbol, including this "." symbol. This is taught by Krishnan (see figs. 1-3, 7).

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to incorporate this "." symbol in Topp with/without the teaching of Krishnan, because this is a conventional feature in data entering devices, such as today's phones which have various functions, including e-mailing, accessing to internet sites etc., and all these functions might require this symbol.

Art Unit: 2642

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642